

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/029,642	RUDOLPH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

All Participants:

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(2) Diderico van Eyl {Reg. No. 38,641}

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 May 2005

Time: 9:30am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

8, 9, 14 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicants' representative for permission to: (1) add "trifluoromethyl" under the possible substituents on the benzyl group under the definition of R(1) in claim 14 so that claim 15 would have antecedent basis; and (2) indicate that claims 8 and 9 are cancelled since claims 8 and 9 were cancelled per the Amendment filed on December 30, 2004.

888
5/23/15